UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,815	03/30/2004	Nikhil M. Deshpande	1000-0043	5073
	7590 04/09/200 es of John C. Scott, LLO	EXAMINER		
c/o PortfolioIP P.O. Box 52050 Minneapolis, MN 55402			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/812,815	DESHPANDE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	2624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	anuary 2009				
•		action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	x parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,3-22,46-50,57,59 and 60</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-22,46-50,57,59 and 60</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
٥,١	and dubject to rectriction and/or	oloollott toquilotticiti.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant(s) Response to Official Action

[1] The response filed on January 27, 2009 has been entered and made of record.

Response to Arguments

[2] Presented arguments have been fully considered but are held unpersuasive. Examiner's response to the presented arguments follows below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Summary of Arguments:

Regarding claims 1, 15, 46 and 57, applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose, a controller programmed to request access to a communication network, when the wireless device is being held and the user is authorized to use the wireless device. (Remarks: Page 11, Para. 3.) The network access request being sent via wireless link. (Remarks: Page 13, Para. 3.)

Examiner's Response:

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Regarding claims 1, 15, 46 and 57, Examiner contends that Doyle discloses, a controller (i.e. security core) programmed to request access to a communication network (i.e. I/O System and I/O bus), when the wireless device is being held (i.e. continuous verification) and the user is authorized to use the wireless device (i.e. authentic user) [paras. 0064 and 0024]. The network access request being sent via wireless link (i.e. buses 140 and 160 are implemented as wireless links) [para. 0050]. Accordingly, Examiner maintains the rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

[3] Regarding claims 1, 3-22, 46-50, 57, 59 and 60 all claimed limitations are set forth and rejected as per discussion for claim 2-22, 46-50 and 57-60 of the previous non-final action (mailed on 08/20/2008).

Conclusion

[4] THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

[5] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Sath V. Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571) 272-7455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Matthew C. Bella whose telephone number is (571) 272-7778, can be reached on Monday to

Application/Control Number: 10/812,815 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

Friday from 9:00am to 5:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dated: April 8, 2009

/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner,

Art Unit 2624

Sath V. Perungavoor

Telephone: (571) 272-7455